

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

BEHROOZ MOHAZZABI,

Plaintiff(s),

V.

KENT F. LARSEN,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:19-cv-02158-RFB-NJK

## Order

[Docket Nos. 13, 15]

15 Pending before the Court is Defendant's motion to stay discovery pending resolution of  
16 the motion to dismiss. Docket No. 13; *see also* Docket No. 7 (motion to dismiss).<sup>1</sup> No response  
17 has been filed. Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the motion practice and finds that the  
18 standards have been met to justify a stay of discovery. *See Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294  
19 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013). Accordingly, the motion to stay discovery (Docket No. 13) is  
20 hereby **GRANTED**.

21 In light of this ruling, Plaintiff's discovery plan (Docket No. 15) is also hereby **DENIED**  
22 without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 20, 2020

Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* and, as a result, the Court construes his filings liberally. *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007).